

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/783,248	Applicant(s) DECICCO ET AL.
	Examiner Jeffrey E. Russel	Art Unit 1654

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Pending(1) Jeffrey E. Russel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Wendy A. Choi.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 April 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

All

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Jeffrey Edwin Russel**  
**Primary Patent Examiner**  
**Art Unit 1654**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed contents of the final office action to be issued, In particular, discussed examiner's position that the claims have different effective filing dates, and that Carpenter, Jr. et al will be available as prior art against those claims not entitled to the benefit of the filing date of Applicants' provisional application. Discussed possibility of amending claims so that they are limited to subject matter disclosed in the provisional application, and adding claims which are limited to subject matter first disclosed in the non-provisional application. Discussed submission of a statement under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) directed to both Liu '032 and Liu '149. (Interview continued on April 26, 2004)..